



Mallinckrodt Complaints

Below is a selected list of legal <u>complaints</u>—written legal statements used in a civil lawsuit where plaintiffs detail their claims against a defendant—filed against Mallinckrodt.

Commonwealth of Kentucky v. Mallinckrodt (July 2018)

Kentucky's complaint summarizes Mallinckrodt's role in the epidemic. Factual allegations include the company's trivialization of opioid addiction, misrepresentations about abuse deterrents and failure to institute procedures to report suspicious orders of opioids.

State of Alaska v. Mallinckrodt (January 2019)

Alaska's suit echoes many of Kentucky's allegations, but also specifies that the company's aggressive and deceptive marketing mischaracterized the risk of opioid addiction, and that Mallinckrodt falsely described addiction as <u>"pseudoaddiction.</u>" Alaska also alleges that Mallinckrodt knew it was obligated to report and respond to diversion and suspicious prescribers but failed to uphold those responsibilities.

State of New Hampshire v. Mallinckrodt (August 2019)

New Hampshire's factual allegations repeat many of those made by Kentucky and Alaska, with the notable addition that Mallinckrodt "manipulated its suspicious order monitoring program to avoid DEA reporting." The complaint also notes that Mallinckrodt collected data from its chargeback system that tracked information on downstream clients, but the company failed to use that data to flag suspicious orders.

State of Rhode Island v. Mark C. Trudeau (October 2020)

Rhode Island's complaint directly blames Mallinckrodt's President and CEO, Mark C. Trudeau. "Under Trudeau's management and oversight Mallinckrodt repeatedly breached its legal duties.... Trudeau has profited handsomely from his oversight of deceptive marketing and oversupply of opioids."